cases or statutes that deal with that issue, and I've 1 2 CD-ROMmed that issue in preparation for this, and there are no, no -- I could find no cases. 3 BY MR. COHEN: And isn't true that under California law a pattern 5 0 6 of conduct cannot be used to establish that one corporation controls another? I don't know where that, whatever, has ever come up 9 or where, where it's ever come up. Well, you would agree with that as a legal proposi-10 11 tion, though, wouldn't you? 12 Α No. 13 You wouldn't. 14 Α No. 15 You mean a, a pattern of conduct could be used to 16 establish that one corporation controls another? 17 The -- what we're getting at here is the mind of the 18 board of directors, and, and you would have to establish that 19 these board of directors, through some agreement, some 20 arrangement, had agreed that they were going to be the ser-21 vants of the board of directors of another corporation, and I 22 don't think that, that pattern of conduct would be -- there 23 would be nothing to be enforced unless there was some unrea-24 sonable act, unfair act, that these conspiring board members 25 had foisted upon the other nonprofit.

Well, I -- in your deposition at page 68, line 3, I ask you, "The word `control'" -- and we were referring to the exemption application -- "was that a word of art? A term of art is defined in the Internal Revenue Code." And you answered, "It would be more of a word of art in terms of state law." And I asked you, "But this was a federal form, wasn't it?" And you answered, "Yes, that's what I'm saying. I don't think there is any word of art in terms of -- federal would look at some kind of a formal tie where there is a legal obligation in terms of just overall ramifications, the ramifications would be much greater on the state basis." And I asked you, "Why?" And you answered, "Because it would be illegal in California." And I asked you, "What would be?" And you said, "If there was an agreement for one corporation's board of directors to be subservient to another, that would be disallowed in California." And I asked you, "When you say agreement, you're talking about a written document." And you answered, "A written document, or normally that type of thing is incorporated in bylaws." And I asked you, "Well, could a manner of conduct establish -- " And you cut me off and said, "No." And I asked you, "It has to be in writing?" And you answered, "There has to be some sort of a legal basis for it, an enforceable basis." Now, I interpreted your answer, Mr. Juggert, to understand that it was your view that, that it had to be in writing for there to be a legal basis to enforce

1

2

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	it. Did I do I understand your position correct?
2	A By that I meant that the dominant corporation would
3	have to have some basis for saying, "You have, you have trans-
4	gressed our agreement." I'm well aware of this because there
5	was an agreement like that at one time in effect with Trinity
6	Christian Center that proved to be unenforceable. Another
7	corporation had the agreement making Trinity subservient.
8	Q Now, are you aware of, of any FCC rule or case which
9	defines control?
10	A We had always been informed by FCC attorneys that
11	control was exercised by the board of directors.
12	Q I understand.
13	A They were virtually the owners of the corporation.
14	Q I understand that. My question is not that. I'm
15	aware of that. Mr. May has testified in this proceeding. I'm
16	aware of that. My question is a different one. As of this
17	moment, are you aware of any FCC rule or any FCC case or
18	precedent which defines or interprets the word "control"?
19	A No, I'm not.
20	JUDGE CHACHKIN: So when you use it in your testi-
21	mony, you're speaking strictly under California law.
22	MR. JUGGERT: Right, and when I, when I referred to
23	the word "federal" in my testimony, I was referring to this
24	question for IRS purposes.
25	BY MR. COHEN:

1	Q And carrying through with what the judge is saying,
2	in, in the word "control" as you have used it, has always,
3	in your testimony, has always referred to control under
4	California law.
5	A That, that's right.
6	Q And you've never purported to hold yourself out as
7	having any knowledge as, as to how the word "control" is
8	defined by the Federal Communications Commission.
9	A No, other than what I've told you in terms of the
10	board of directors being the controlling agents of the corpo-
11	ration.
12	MR. COHEN: Your Honor, what, what time were you
13	I forgot. What time do you usually start, start your recess?
14	What time do you usually have your morning recess? I've
15	forgotten.
16	JUDGE CHACHKIN: Well, if we're going to run until
17	12:30, I thought 11:30 would be a good time to do it because
18	we started at 9:30. Why? Do you want a preference to some
19	time?
20	MR. COHEN: If I had a preference, I would rather
21	have it now than later because I have, off the record, I have
22	a, an important engagement.
23	JUDGE CHACHKIN: All right.
24	MR. COHEN: Was that delicate, Your Honor?
25	JUDGE CHACHKIN: We'll take a 10-minute break at

this time. (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken from 11:10 a.m. 2 until 11:20 a.m.) 3 4 JUDGE CHACHKIN: Back on the record. 5 MR. COHEN: -- Your Honor. 6 BY MR. COHEN: 7 I want to make sure the record is clear on this The bylaws, and, I know you've testified about it. and the articles, and the Form 1023, the exemption application 9 which -- you prepared all those documents, of course. 10 Α 11 Yes. Yes, and who paid your fee for, for preparing those 12 13 documents? 14 Α The, the -- I, I really can't say for sure. I 15 believe it was Translator TV. 16 Well, in your deposition at page 76, I asked you --17 and this is the same day, Volume 1. This is September 23. 18 asked on line 2, "Now, I think you may have testified to this 19 earlier and I apologize for asking you again, but were you 20 paid for your services in preparing the bylaws, the articles, 21 and the Form 1023?" And your answer was, "Yes, my recollec-22 tion is that there would have been a set fee. " Question: "And Trinity paid that fee, or did NMTV pay it?" And your 23 24 answer was, "I'm pretty sure that Trinity paid it." Does this 25 refresh your recollection?

1	A	Not one way or the other. Trinity would have paid
2	one the	e funds for, for it would have come from Trinity.
3	Translato	r didn't have any money at that time. I can't remem-
4	ber who I	billed, is what I'm saying.
5	Q	You mean, in any event, it came out of Trinity's
6	pocket.	That's, that's the essence of what I hear you saying.
7	A	That's right.
8	Q	Is that accurate?
9	A	Translator was a shell.
10	Q	Would you please refer to Glendale this is not
11	the Burea	u; this is Glendale Exhibit 149.
12	A	Let's see. I have Volumes 1 and 2.
13	Q	Two. Of Glendale now.
14		MR. TOPEL: Excuse me, Volume 2.
15		BY MR. COHEN:
16	Q	This would be in
17	A	Oh.
18	Q	the second volume of the Glendale exhibits.
19	A	Okay, I was looking for a tab.
20	Q	And I want you to look at, if you would, for pur-
21	poses of	my questions, Glendale Exhibit 149 and Glendale
22	Exhibit 1	50. I'm not going to be asking any questions about
23	the subst	ance of the document but I'd like you to just famil-
24	iarize yo	urself.
25	A	Yes, I've, I've reviewed 149 and 150.

1 Q Now, you, you recall writing the letter dated 2 October 1, 1992?

- A Yes, I do.
- Q And you recall receiving the letter dated

  October 13, 1992?
- 6 A Yes.

3

- Q Okay. Now, these are the services that you mentioned earlier in your testimony that you provided for NMTV,
  am I correct?
- 10 A That's correct.
- 11 Q Now, I'd like for you to tell me how you became
  12 involved in, in this matter, this -- by matter, I'm talking
  13 about this dispute with, with the entity called "Syncom
  14 Incorporated." How did you get into the act?
- 15 A Jane referred the, the letter that I referred to in 16 this October 1, 1992, letter to Syncom. Jane referred a 17 September 29, 1992, letter to me from Syncom and asked that I 18 respond to it.
- Q Now, this is a dispute which also involved
  Ben Miller, am I correct?
- 21 A Well, Ben was, yes, Ben was involved.
- Q And, for the record, I think you all know who
  Ben Miller is but I think we ought to -- you ought to identify
  him for the record as what your understanding is as what his
- 25 | title --

1	A Ben Miller would be the chief engineer of Trinity	
2	Christian Center, Santa Ana.	
3	Q Now	
4	A TBN.	
5	Q When you spoke with Ben Miller about this matter,	
6	what hat was he wearing?	
7	A I, I'm not sure. I know that he, he acted as an	
8	independent consultant for several corporations.	
9	Q When you spoke with Ben Miller well, perhaps	
10	you'd better, you'd better we'd better start at the top.	
11	Who was the first person that brought the Syncom dispute to	
12	your attention?	
13	A I'm pretty sure it was Jane Duff.	
14	Q And what did she tell you?	
15	A I think she just referred this letter to me, and that	
16	more or less set out the fact that Columbia, the people in	
17	Columbia, were not going to perform on their lease agreement.	
18	Q And then how did it come to pass that you, that you	
19	and Mr. Miller were in touch with regarding this?	
20	A We were on a trip together after I'd I think	
21	after Jane had initially contacted me it would have been	
22	before October 1st, sometime in September of 1992 I was on	
23	a trip with Ben Miller and I asked him what the problem was	
24	with Syncom Incorporated and Columbia, South Carolina, and he	
25	related to me all what the issues were.	

1	Q So, so you then became aware that Ben Miller had
2	been involved in this, in this matter, is that correct?
3	A Yes.
4	Q Okay, and did you have an understanding them as to
5	whether he was providing services for NMTV?
6	A I, I had no knowledge one way or the other. I
7	assumed that.
8	Q Now, am I correct that you, that you, you generally
9	know that Ben Miller has provided engineering services for
10	NMTV?
11	A I, I think that's the case, yeah. I, I've been
12	aware that he provides engineering services for a number of
13	people.
14	Q Can you tell me from your own recollection,
15	Mr. Juggert, what companies Mr. Miller provides engineering
16	services for?
17	A I know that he, he provides it for the Trinity
18	Broadcasting Companies, Trinity of Arizona, Oklahoma, Florida,
19	Washington, Oklahoma City, those corporations.
20	Q Do you know that he provides engineering services
21	for any non-Trinity corporations?
22	A I think that he does. In fact, I'm aware of one
23	where he just I'm aware of an instance recently where he
24	did.
25	Q For what company was that?

It's called Christian Television in Italy. 1 Α Yeah, but you are aware that he, he provides engi-2 neering services for NMTV. 3 Yes, this was for NMTV, the Syncom. Α 4 Now, I can show you an exhibit -- perhaps I 5 should -- where he describes the various titles he has, the 6 various companies that -- off the record. 7 (Whereupon, a brief recess was taken.) 8 That was off the record. JUDGE CHACHKIN: 9 MR. COHEN: Thank you. 10 11 (Asides.) MR. COHEN: Can we, can we go back on the record, 12 Your Honor? 13 JUDGE CHACHKIN: Yes. 14 BY MR. COHEN: 15 Now, Mr. Juggert, I want to show you what's been 16 admitted into evidence in this proceeding as Mass Media Bureau 17 Exhibit 378. Do you have it, sir? 18 19 Α That's 379. 20 You, you -- you're close. Q Oh, here we go. 21 There you go -- spend a minute looking, just look at 22 Not a long exhibit. 23 Yes, I see that. 24 Α

FREE STATE REPORTING, INC.
Court Reporting Depositions
D.C. Area (301) 261-1902
Balt. & Annap. (410) 974-0947

25

Q

Have you, have you ever seen that document before

this minute? 2 Α No. 3 0 Okay. So this is the first time you've ever seen 4 it. 5 Α Yes. 6 Q Okay. Now, this document speaks for itself but my question is, is this new information to you, that, that, that's set forth on this memo dated October 3, 1999 -- 1991, 8 9 or did you know that before? 10 I would have known down through National Minority. I was not aware of All-American and -- well, Sonlight I was. 11 12 I was aware of Ben doing some work for Sonlight Broadcasting 13 Systems. 14 0 Now, do you have an understanding as Trinity's lawyer and as a board member of Trinity under what basis 15 16 Mr. Miller provides engineering services for National Minority 17 TV, Inc.? 18 No, I don't. Α 19 Q And, and you never inquired into that? 20 Α No. It was never a matter that was of, of interest to 21 22 you as a board member? 23 Α No. 24 You weren't, you weren't concerned as to how 25 Mr. Miller was spending his time?

1		A	I, I'm well aware that Mr. Miller is very, very
2	busy.		
3		Q	Yes.
4		A	And so I don't know all of the corporations he does
5	work	for.	
6		Q	Well, do you know whether he's paid by NMTV?
7		A	I have, I have no knowledge of that.
8		Q	And do you know whether, when he works for NMTV, he
9	does	it o	n Trinity's time?
10		A	I, I don't know.
11		Q	And as a board member of, of Trinity, that is not a
12	matte	r of	concern to you?
13		A	No, not my concern is, is that all of our engi-
14	neeri	.ng ne	eeds are taken care of and Mr. Miller does a good
15	job.		
16		Q	But, but, but you are it is of no concern to you
17	as a	board	d member as to whether he's providing services for
18	non-I	rini!	ty companies during his normal work week, is that
19	corre	ct?	
20		A	Well, I have no knowledge that he is.
21		Q	Have you ever inquired?
22		A	No.
23		Q	It never occurred to you.
24		A	No, it never occurred to me.
25		Q	But you knew that he was providing the services.

1	A For, for other corporations, corporations, and I
2	know that he works on Saturdays, and Sundays, and holidays.
3	Q But you never asked him when he was working for any
4	company, is that correct?
5	A No.
6	Q And you're aware that he you tell me you aware he
7	also has provided services for Community Educational
8	Television, Inc., and Jacksonville Educators Broadcasting?
9	A Yes.
10	Q And you never queried him as to when he's providing
11	those services.
12	A No.
13	Q And same question for Sonlight Broadcasting Systems.
14	A No, as a matter of fact, well, Sonlight is one that
15	I wasn't aware that he was yes, I was. Sonlight I was
16	aware of. No, I've never inquired of him about these other
17	involvements.
18	Q And Trinity has no policy which requires services
19	that Mr. Miller renders to other companies to be reduced to
20	writing, is that correct?
21	A Not that I'm aware of.
22	Q And as Trinity's lawyer, you, you approve of this,
23	of this non-policy, is that it?
24	A I approve of the work of Ben Miller.
25	Q And it, and it is of no concern to you as Trinity's

1 |counsel that his relationship with NMTV is -- has not been

- 2 reduced to writing.
- 3 A Well, I don't know that it -- whether it has or
- 4 hasn't.
- 5 Q Well, accept that as a fact, that it hasn't been.
- 6 A I would prefer it to be in writing.
- 7 Q Is this the first time you learned that it's not in
- 8 writing?
- 9 A Assuming that what you say is true, yes.
- 10 | Q I wouldn't, I wouldn't mislead you and Mr. Topel
- 11 | wouldn't allow me to mislead you, so you can be certain that
- 12 I'm not misleading you.
- 13 A I would, I would prefer that an agreement like this
- 14 be in writing.
- 15 Q Is this the first time you've learned that it's not
- 16 | in writing?
- 17 A Yes.
- 18 Q Are you aware as to whether the services that
- 19 Mr. Miller renders for Community Educational TV, Inc. or
- 20 | Jacksonville Educators Broadcasting, Inc. -- are you aware
- 21 whether those services are the subject of a, of a, of an
- 22 agreement, a written document?
- A I believe they are. I believe they are.
- Q And give me your best recollection of, of, of what
- 25 | document or documents encompass --

1	A The		
2	Q those services.		
3	A The reason I say that is that I remember discussing		
4	this with Mr. Crouch and we discussed the fact that this would		
5	be a way for Ben to make some extra income, by working for		
6	these other corporations.		
7	Q Other corporations meaning what companies?		
8	A Community and Jacksonville, and we talked about that		
9	in the, in the context of, of a independent consultant agree-		
10	ment such as, as Terry Hickey had, and so I assume that, that		
11	Ben Miller would have had the same thing with those two corpo-		
12	rations.		
13	Q What, what agreement did you have reference to a		
14	moment ago concerning Terry Hickey?		
15	A Terry Hickey has a serves as an independent		
16	consultant for		
17	Q To whom?		
18	A Jacksonville and for Community.		
19	Q And that, and that's happening as we this agree-		
20	ment is in effect now?		
21	A I don't know. I just know that it was in effect		
22	several years ago.		
23	Q And you had a discussion with Paul Crouch about,		
24	about, about Ben Miller having such an agreement, is that		
25	correct?		

1	A	In that context, yes.
2	Q	And when did that discussion take place?
3	A	Several years ago. I can't recall when.
4	Q	And what was the result of that conversation?
5	A	I Ben Miller began doing work for Community and
6	Jacksonvi	lle.
7	Q	But you don't know whether it's, it's, it's subject
8	to a, a w	ritten document or not.
9	A	No, I'm not sure if it is.
10	Q	Are you aware whether do you have knowledge as to
11	whether h	e's paid for the services he renders to Trinity
12	Broadcast	ing and Community Educational TV, Inc.?
13	A	Do you mean Jacksonville and Community?
14	Q	Yes, excuse me, I meant thank you for correcting
15	me Com	munity and Jacksonville.
16	A	I don't know.
17	Q	Are you aware as to whether he's paid for services
18	he provide	es to Sonlight?
19	A	I don't know.
20	Q	And as a board member of Trinity and as Trinity's
21	counsel,	those were not matters that you wanted to know the
22	answers to	o, is that correct?
23	A	The no, I my concern is are our engineering
24	needs bein	ng met? They are being met and they're being met
25	very well	by Ben Miller. I'm in his office many times over

the course of, of a normal -- maybe 10 times. 2 Now, I've told you that the evidence in this proceeding is that he provides engineering services to NMTV. You 3 can accept that as a fact, he has provided engineering services for NMTV, and you can accept as a fact that it's --5 those services are provided without reference to any, any 6 7 document. 8 Α Yeah. 9 Now, are you aware as to whether Mr. Miller Okay. 10 is compensated in any way by NMTV for the services he provides? 11 12 I don't know. Α 13 And you've never discussed that with him. 0 14 Α No. 15 And that's not a matter which concerns you or interests you as a board member or as counsel for Trinity, is that 16 17 your testimony? 18 Well, it interests me that it isn't in writing. Ιt should be in writing. 19 20 Why should it be in writing? 21 Because if he's doing that, that's an independent 22 contractor relationship, and I would think for, for Ben's sake 23 that it should be in, in, in writing, and for the sake of

whoever is paying him it should be in writing so it's clear

who pays the taxes, who's responsible if he has a Workers'

24

25

1	Comp accident.		
2	Q Well, the testimony is that he is not being paid by		
3	NMTV.		
4	A Whose testimony?		
5	Q Several witnesses testimony is to that effect, and		
6	you can accept that as a fact.		
7	A Well, I don't know.		
8	Q Okay. Now, does that concern you as a board member		
9	and as Trinity's counsel, that Mr. Miller has provided ser-		
10	vices to NMTV which NMTV never paid him for?		
11	A No, that doesn't concern me. That's very common		
12	among religious corporations, that they do that people		
13	volunteer their time.		
14	Q I want to ask you a question about Planck Technical		
15	Services, which is a company that you're familiar with.		
16	A Yes.		
17	Q All right, first of all, have you ever provided any		
18	legal services for Planck?		
19	A I think that I may have provided some services for		
20	them when they were being operated by Trinity Christian Center		
21	in terms of doing some minutes for them, but legal services		
22	per se, no.		
23	Q Now, am I correct give me your best recollection		
24	on this point as to when Trinity owned the majority of Planck		
25	stock.		

1	A	That, that had to have been 6 to 8 years ago that	
2	that started.		
3	Q	It started.	
4	A	Yeah.	
5	Q	Okay, and when Planck started out as a company, did	
6	Trinity t	hen have the majority position in the stock?	
7	A	No.	
8	Q	Trinity purchased the majority position?	
9	A	Trinity provided funding to the client to avoid	
10	bankruptc	у.	
11	Q	And why	
12	A	It purchased stock.	
13	Q	And why did Trinity do that?	
14	A	Because Trinity had made a number of, of deposits,	
15	had given	Planck money, substantial sums of money, to be used	
16	as deposits for the acquisition of, of equipment, and Planck		
17	had used money that would have been in furtherance of those		
18	deposits that would have allowed those deposits to be applied		
19	toward purchase contracts for other purposes, and those depos-		
20	its were going to be lost when Planck was about to, to go into		
21	bankruptcy, and so as a means of helping him, Trinity provided		
22	some fundi	ing and it came out in the, the form of stock, and	
23	then over	a period of time helped Planck get back upon his	
24	feet and t	turned the corporation back over to him once he was	
25	capable of	operating. He was a very he was an expert in	

1	low-power	construction.
2	Q	Now, when, when was the ownership interest, the
3	stock inte	erest, sold back to Planck?
4	A	It must have been about 2, 3 years ago.
5	Q	Well, your, your deposition at page 80 says, line 5,
6	"It had to	be in 1992 sometime." Does that refresh your
7	recollect	ion?
8	A	Yeah, that would be 2 years ago.
9	Q	Oh, is that
10	A	Probably about 2 years ago.
11	Q	I want to ask you about Mass Media Bureau Exhibit 7.
12		MR. TOPEL: Volume 1, Mr. Juggert.
13		MR. COHEN: Excuse me, 12.
14		MR. TOPEL: Same volume.
15		BY MR. COHEN:
16	Q	And am I correct that you prepared that document?
17	A	The Waiver of Notice?
18	Q	The Waiver of Notice and Consent to Holding of
19	Meeting.	
20	A	Yes.
21	Q	I want to ask you about Exhibit, Mass Media
22	Exhibit 10	). Did you prepare that document?
23	A	Yes, I did.
24	Q	There are the line-up of officers on the second
25	page, who	provided that information to you?

1	A Jane. Jane Duff.	
2	Q And did she tell you the basis of how it had been	
3	determined strike that. Did she tell you who, who deter-	
4	mined that these were to be the officers?	
5	A I don't recall that she told me who determined that.	
6	Q Did she tell you the, the basis for the decision as	
7	to who should be president, vice-president, secretary, and	
8	chief financial officer?	
9	A Not that I recall.	
10	Q Now, I want to ask you a question about your normal	
11	practice, and it's my understanding that it's your normal	
12	practice to attend NMTV board meetings and take the minutes,	
13	am I correct?	
14	A The majority of the time, that's correct.	
15	Q I want to ask you about the annual meeting of the	
16	board of directors of February 26th, 1982, which I believe is	
17	Mass Media Bureau Exhibit 47. I'm not going to be asking you	
18	about the substance of the document, but just look at it to	
19	familiarize yourself with it.	
20	A Yes.	
21	Q Now, your, your you state there that's your	
22	signature, correct, on the second page, Norman Juggert?	
23	A Yes.	
24	Q You state there that you are acting secretary, is	
25	that correct?	

1	A	Right.
2	Q	Now, who appointed you acting secretary?
3	A	I was acting secretary in the sense that I was
4	invited by	y the directors to attend the meeting and to take
5	minutes.	There was no official appointment.
6	Q	I want to ask you a question about the bottom of the
7	first page	e, the last paragraph. Read it to yourself. About
8	Mr. John	Casoria?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	There's a reference to affiliated stations and
11	affiliate	d corporations, do you see that?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	Was Translator TV Inc. intended to be considered an
14	affiliated	d corporation as the term was used in those minutes?
15	A	Yes, in the sense that it broadcast Trinity pro-
16	grams, or	intended to do so.
17	Q	And that was the basis for Mr. Casoria presenting
18	the financ	cial statement of, of Translator Television, Inc.?
19	The basis	was that Translator TV carried or was, was to carry
20	Trinity p	rogramming?
21	A	I think the basis was that it was part of a combined
22	financial	statement.
23	Q	Do you know who made the decision that there be a
24	combined f	financial statement? And I'm now talking about back
25	in 1982.	

1	A	No, I don't.	
2	Q	And John Casoria was the finance director for	
3	Trinity C	hristian Center, isn't that correct?	
4	A	Correct.	
5	Q	And what relationship did he have to Translator TV,	
6	Inc.?		
7	A	He was the person who would have been responsible	
8	for overs	eeing the preparation of their financial statements.	
9	He wasn't	an officer, or director, or employee.	
10	Q	And do you know who, who asked him to assume that	
11	responsibility?		
12	A	No.	
13		JUDGE CHACHKIN: He was employed by Trinity, isn't	
14	that correct?		
15		MR. JUGGERT: He was employed by Trinity.	
16		BY MR. COHEN:	
17	Q	Now, if you would, look at Mass Media Bureau	
18	Exhibit 5	5, which is the 1983 meeting.	
19	A	Yes.	
20	Q	And Translator TV, Inc. was a, was a part of that	
21	meeting.		
22	A	Let's see, yes.	
23	Q	Now, you signed those minutes but, but there's	
24	nothing n	ext to your name. Do you see that?	
25	A	Yes.	

Was that intentional on your part to, to leave -- to 1 2 have no -- nothing next to your name to reflect what your 3 position was preparing the minutes? It wasn't intentional. I'm referred to in the documents as a secretary of the corporation. I quess I'm 5 not -- that wasn't intentional. 7 So you were, you were acting then as acting secretary for Television Translator, Inc. [sic] insofar as these 8 9 minutes were concerned and regarding that entity, am I cor-10 rect? 11 That's correct. Α 12 And was that also a role that, that you assumed on 0 13 your own volition? 14 No, I would have been asked to come and be secretary Α for all of the corporations that were holding board meetings, 15 16 annual meetings, at that time. 17 And insofar as Translator TV, Inc. was concerned, 18 were you, were you -- insofar as the minutes affect them, were 19 you acting under your own volition in preparing these minutes 20 as -- or were you asked to, to do so. 21 I would have been asked to do so. 22 Let me read your deposition, September 23, page 103, 23 question, line 5: "So you were acting again as acting secre-24 tary for Translator TV, Inc., is that correct?" And your 25 answer is, "Well, if you look at the title of this, it is

1 | "Joint Annual Meeting of Board of Directors of the Trinity

- 2 Broadcasting Network, Inc. and Affiliates" and then it lists a
- 3 number of corporations, and so for all those I would have,
- 4 with the exception of Translator TV, actually been the actual
- 5 secretary. I would have been the acting secretary, I would
- 6 have been the acting secretary to the extent that these min-
- 7 utes relate to Translator TV. " Question: "And was -- and
- 8 that was again a role that you assumed on your own volition,"
- 9 and your answer was, "Yes." Okay, turn now to Exhibit 70.
- 10 That would be in the next volume.
- 11 A Volume 2?
- 12 Q Yes, sir.
- 13 (Pause.)
- 14 MR. JUGGERT: I have it.
- 15 BY MR. COHEN:
- Q Yes. You're listed on page 10 there -- that's your
- 17 | signature, isn't it, as Norman Juggert, secretary?
- 18 | A Yes.
- 19 | Q Now, you were denominated there as secretary.
- 20 Insofar as Translator TV, Inc. is concerned, were you the
- 21 secretary of that entity?
- 22 A No.
- Q Would you, would you please refer to Mass Media
- 24 Bureau Exhibit 91?
- 25 A I have it.